

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,553	09/27/2001	Stephen Jensen	7836/83303 4623		
Welsh & Katz, Ltd.			EXAMINER		
Erik B. Flom 22nd Floor			PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B		
120 South Riverside Plaza Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N		Applicant(s)	!			
			o.					
0	ffice Action Summary	09/966,553		JENSEN, STEPHEN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
Tho	MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ishwar (I. B.) F		2827				
Period for Rep		Jeans On the Cov	er sneet with the c	on espondence dadress	,			
THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period f - If NO period - Failure to rep - Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period very within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory r will apply and will expi s, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08.</u>	July 2002 .						
2a)⊠ This	action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non	-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of		•						
•	4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>	n(s) <u>1-5,7,10-15 and 31</u> is/are rejected.							
	n(s) <u>6,8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.							
·	n(s) <u>o,o and s</u> is/are objected to: n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement					
Application Pa	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	or cicolion requi	roment.					
9)⊟ The s	pecification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
<i>,</i> —	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		ected to by the Exa	miner.				
Арр	olicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be l	neld in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) <u></u> The p	roposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ appro	ved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If a	oproved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office	action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under	<sup>-</sup> 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)☐ Ackr	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.□	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been re	ceived.					
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	wledgment is made of a claim for domest				lication)			
,— a) □ <sup>-</sup>	The translation of the foreign language probable design is made of a claim for domest	ovisional applic	ation has been red	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	omengment is made of a dialiff for doffies	as priority unde	. 55 5.5.5. 33 120	CONTROL LET				
1) Notice of Ro	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) [ 5) [ 6) [	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,553 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of 1-15 and 31, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 10-12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(Katsunori) as being anticipated by Katsunori et al., Japanese Patent JP402076295A, hereafter Katsunori.

Regarding claims 1, 11 and 31, Katsunori discloses a circuit board adapted to suppress electromagnetic interference, the circuit board comprising:

an electrically conductive transmission layer defining a circuit pattern (signal pattern 2, see figure 1);

a ferrite powder containing medium spaced from the electrically conductive transmission layer (ferrite containing polymer paste with ferrite powder); and an insulating layer, positioned between the transmission layer and the ferrite powder containing medium (a resin insulated layer 3, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 2, Katsunori further discloses plurality of non-contiguous spaced apart ferrite powder containing medium regions, see figure 1.

Regarding claim 3, Katsunori further discloses the ferrite powder containing medium is not connected to an electrically conductive material, see figure 1.

Regarding claim 5, Katsunori further discloses the ferrite powder containing medium comprises an epoxy.

Regarding claims 10 and 12, Katsunori further discloses a captivating layer that maintains the ferrite powder-containing layer on the circuit board, (resin insulated layer 5, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 14, Katsunori discloses a polymer paste, which is inherently an adhesive material.

Regarding claim 15, Katsunori further discloses a resin-insulated material, which is a plastic material.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,553

Art Unit: 2827

4. Claims 4, 7,13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsunori et al., Japanese Patent JP402076295A, hereafter Katsunori, as applied to claim1-3, 5, 10-12 above.

Page 4

Regarding claim 4, though Katsunori does not explicitly disclose the ferrite powder containing medium as a paint, the paste may be in the form of paint depending upon the proportion of the solvent used and will depend upon the method of applying the ferrite containing layer to the board. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to have the board of Katsunori with the ferrite containing medium in form of a paint in order to suitable apply the same to cover the traces for controlling EMI.

Regarding claim 7, though Katsunori disclose the insulating layer as a layer just covering the pattern, the location of the insulating layer will depend upon the type of the circuit board and number of trace layers. And once the teaching is known, it can be used in any specific configuration. The crux of the invention of the prior art is to shield the conductive pattern. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to have the board of Katsunori in such a configuration to have the insulating layer itself a substrate layer in order to have shielding without additional insulating layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,553 Page 5

Art Unit: 2827

Regarding claim 13, the applicant is claiming the electromagnetic signal is an RF signal. Though, Katsunori does not explicitly disclose RF signal, EMI suppression and radiation suppression devices are used for high frequency signals creating more noise or interference or radiation hazard and RF signal is one of the signals requiring such suppression for reducing the disturbance in system or in the surrounding systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to have the signal of Katsunori, an RF signal, and the device is used for suppressing the unwanted interference / radiation / noise in order to have better performance of the system.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6, 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7 and 10-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/966,553

Art Unit: 2827

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Masahiro, Yumico et al., Masatake et al., Yoshinori disclose ferrite powder containing medium similar to applicant's claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ishwar (I. B.) Patel whose telephone number is (703) 305 2617. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 4) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L Talbott can be reached on (703) 305 9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305 3431 for regular communications and (703) 305 7724 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,553

Art Unit: 2827

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

ibp September 28, 2002

ALBERT W. PALADINI PRIMARY EXAMINER

alter Palasi 9-70-02